

Laurence M. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 219683)
THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2450
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 785-2610
Facsimile: (213) 226-4684
Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NUNZIATINA PAOLINI, Individually
and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

KANDI TECHNOLOGIES GROUP,
INC., XIAOMING HU, XIAOYING
ZHU, CHENG WANG, and BING
MEI,

Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-2025

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE
FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff Nunziatina Paolini (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, by Plaintiff’s undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff’s complaint against Defendants (defined below), alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, inter alia, the investigation conducted by and through her attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of the Defendants’ public documents, conference calls and announcements made by Defendants, United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filings,

1 wire and press releases published by and regarding Kandi Technologies Group, Inc.
2 (“Kandi” or the “Company”), and information readily obtainable on the Internet.
3 Plaintiff believes that substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations
4 set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

5 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

6 1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting
7 of all persons and entities other than Defendants who purchased or otherwise
8 acquired the publicly traded securities of Kandi from March 16, 2015 through
9 March 13, 2017, both dates inclusive (the “Class Period”). Plaintiff seeks to recover
10 compensable damages caused by Defendants’ violations of the federal securities
11 laws and to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities
12 Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated
13 thereunder.

14 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

15 2. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 10(b)
16 and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5
17 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).

18 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action
19 pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa).

20 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)
21 and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)) as the alleged
22 misstatements entered into this judicial district.

23 5. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this
24 complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities
25 of interstate commerce, including but not limited to, the United States mails,
26 interstate telephone communications and the facilities of the national securities
27 exchange.
28

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying certification, incorporated by reference herein, purchased Kandi securities at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and was economically damaged thereby.

7. Defendant Kandi, through its subsidiaries, designs, produces, manufactures, and distributes electric vehicles (EVs) products, EV parts, and off-road vehicles in the People's Republic of China and internationally. Kandi is incorporated in Delaware and maintains its principal executive offices at Jinhua, Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China. Kandi securities trade on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ("NASDAQ") under the ticker "KNDI."

8. Defendant Xiaoming Hu ("Hu") has been the Company's Chief Executive Officer, President and Chairman of the Board throughout the Class Period.

9. Defendant Xiaoying Zhu ("Zhu") was the Company's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") from June 2007 until her resignation on April 30, 2015.

10. Defendant Cheng Wang ("Wang") was the Company's CFO from May 1, 2015 until his resignation on November 14, 2016.

11. Defendant Bing Mei ("Mei") has been the Company's CFO since November 14, 2016.

12. Defendants Hu, Zhu, Wang and Mei are collectively referred to herein as the "Individual Defendants."

13. Each of the Individual Defendants:

- a. directly participated in the management of the Company;
- b. was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company at the highest levels;
- c. was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company and its business and operations;

- d. was directly or indirectly involved in drafting, producing, reviewing and/or disseminating the false and misleading statements and information alleged herein;
- e. was directly or indirectly involved in the oversight or implementation of the Company's internal controls;
- f. was aware of or recklessly disregarded the fact that the false and misleading statements were being issued concerning the Company; and/or
- g. approved or ratified these statements in violation of the federal securities laws.

14. Kandi is liable for the acts of the Individual Defendants and its employees under the doctrine of *respondeat superior* and common law principles of agency because all of the wrongful acts complained of herein were carried out within the scope of their employment.

15. The scienter of the Individual Defendants and other employees and agents of the Company is similarly imputed to Kandi under *respondeat superior* and agency principles.

16. Defendants Kandi and Individual Defendants are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period

17. On March 16, 2015, the Company filed a Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014 (the "2014 10-K") with the SEC. The 2014 10-K was signed by Defendants Hu and Zhu. Attached to the 2014 10-K were certifications pursuant to the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 ("SOX") signed by Defendants Hu and Zhu attesting to the accuracy of the financial statements, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure all fraud was disclosed.

1 18. The 2014 10-K discussed the Company's internal controls over
2 financial reporting, stating in relevant part:

3 Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our
4 system of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31,
5 2014, the last day of our fiscal year. This assessment was based on
6 criteria established in the framework Internal Control—Integrated
7 Framework (2013), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring
8 Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") and included
9 an evaluation of elements such as the design and operating
10 effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process
11 documentation, accounting policies, and our overall control
12 environment. ***Based on management's evaluation under the 2013
COSO framework, management concluded that the Company's
internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of
December 31, 2014.***

13 (Emphasis added).

14 19. On March 14, 2016, the Company filed a Form 10-K for the fiscal year
15 ended December 31, 2015 (the "2015 10-K") with the SEC. The 2015 10-K was
16 signed by Defendants Hu and Wang. Attached to the 2015 10-K were signed SOX
17 certifications signed by Defendants Hu and Wang attesting to the accuracy of the
18 financial statements, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company's
19 internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure all fraud was disclosed.

20 20. The 2015 10-K discussed the Company's internal controls over
21 financial reporting, stating in relevant part:

22 Management conducted an assessment of the effectiveness of our
23 system of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31,
24 2015, the last day of our fiscal year. This assessment was based on
25 criteria established in the framework Internal Control—Integrated
26 Framework (2013), issued by the Committee of Sponsoring
27 Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") and included
28 an evaluation of elements such as the design and operating
effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process
documentation, accounting policies, and our overall control

1 environment. *Based on management's evaluation under the 2013*
2 *COSO framework, management concluded that the Company's*
3 *internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of*
4 *December 31, 2015.*

5 (Emphasis added).

6 21. On May 10, 2016, the Company filed a Form 10-Q for the quarterly
7 period ended March 31, 2016 (the "1Q16 10-Q") with the SEC. The 1Q16 10-Q
8 was signed by Defendants Hu and Wang. Attached to the 1Q16 10-Q were signed
9 SOX certifications by Defendants Hu and Wang attesting to the accuracy of the
10 financial statements, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company's
11 internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure all fraud was disclosed.

12 22. The 1Q16 10-Q discussed the Company's internal controls over
13 financial reporting, stating in relevant part:

14 **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

15 There was no change to our internal control over financial reporting (as
16 defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that
17 occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially
18 affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal
19 control over financial reporting.

20 23. On August 9, 2016 the Company filed a Form 10-Q for the quarterly
21 period ended June 30, 2016 (the "2Q16 10-Q") with the SEC. The 2Q16 10-Q was
22 signed by Defendants Hu and Zhu. Attached to the 2Q16 10-Q were SOX
23 certifications signed by Defendants Hu and Zhu attesting to the accuracy of the
24 financial statements, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company's
25 internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure all fraud was disclosed.

26 24. The 2Q16 10-Q discussed the Company's internal controls over
27 financial reporting, stating in relevant part:

28 **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

1 There was no change to our internal control over financial reporting (as
2 defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that
3 occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially
4 affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal
control over financial reporting.

5 25. On November 9, 2016 the Company filed a Form 10-Q for the
6 quarterly period ended September 30, 2016 (the “3Q16 10-Q”) with the SEC. The
7 3Q16 10-Q was signed by Defendants Hu and Zhu. Attached to the 3Q16 10-Q were
8 SOX certifications signed by Defendants Hu and Zhu attesting to the accuracy of
9 the financial statements, the disclosure of any material changes to the Company’s
10 internal control over financial reporting and the disclosure all fraud was disclosed.

11 26. The 3Q16 10-Q discussed the Company’s internal controls over
12 financial reporting, stating in relevant part:

13 **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

14
15 There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as
16 defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that
17 occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially
18 affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal
control over financial reporting.

19 27. The statements contained in ¶¶ 17-26 were materially false and/or
20 misleading because they misrepresented and failed to disclose the following adverse
21 facts pertaining to the Company’s business, operations and prospects, which were
22 known to Defendants or recklessly disregarded by them. Specifically, Defendants
23 made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (1) certain
24 areas in the Company’s previously issued financial statements for the years ended
25 December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the first three quarters for the year ended
26 December 31, 2016 required adjustment; (2) in turn, the Company lacked effective
27 controls over financial reporting; and (3) as a result, Defendants’ statements about
28

1 the Company's business, operations, and prospects, were materially false and
2 misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis at all relevant times.

3 **The Truth Emerges**

4 28. On November 14, 2016, the Company announced the abrupt
5 resignation of Defendant Wang as the CFO.

6 29. On this news, shares of Kandi fell \$0.40 per share or over 10% from
7 its previous closing price to close at \$3.50 per share on November 14, 2016,
8 damaging investors.

9 30. On March 13, 2017, the Company filed a Form 8-K with the SEC
10 revealing that its previously issued financial statements for the years ended
11 December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the first three quarters for the year ended
12 December 31, 2016 will need to be restated, stating in relevant part:

13 **Item 4.02 Non-Reliance on Previously Issued Financial**
14 **Statements or a Related Audit Report or Completed Interim**
15 **Review.**

16 (a) During the course of Kandi Technologies Group, Inc.'s (the
17 "Company") preparation of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
18 year ended December 31, 2016, and during preparation of responses to
19 comments from the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission
20 ("SEC"), Division of Corporate Finance, *the Company's management*
21 *identified certain areas in the Company's previously issued financial*
22 *statements for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the*
23 *first three quarters for the year ended December 31, 2016 (the*
24 *"Previously Issued Financial Statements"), that require adjustment*
25 *as described below and in more detail in the Company's annual report*
26 *on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 ("Form*
27 *10-K/A"), to be filed with the SEC. As a result, on March 7, 2017, the*
28 *board of directors (the "Board") of the Company, based on the*
recommendation of the Company's audit committee, and in
consultation with management, concluded that the Company's
Previously Issued Financial Statements should no longer be relied
upon. The Company will, in the Form 10-K/A, restate the Previously
Issued Financial Statements, which restatement will include separate
audited financial statements for the JV Company (the

1 ***“Restatements”***). The Restatements will have no effect on the net
2 income of the Company as reported in the Previously Issued Financial
3 Statements. The Company will endeavor to file its Annual Report on
4 Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, pursuant to
5 SEC’s rules (including timing guidelines), and will file the Form 10-
K/A as soon as practicably possible.

6 The Restatements will include separate audited financial statements for
7 the Company’s equity investment in the JV Company, corrections to
8 the classification of notes receivable and notes payable in the
9 Company’s statements of cash flow, revisions in the Company’s
10 financial statement presentation to separately identify certain related
11 party accounts on the face of the Balance Sheets and the Consolidated
12 Statements of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss),
13 certain amendments to Note 20 – Taxes of the Notes to the Company’s
14 Consolidated Financial Statements, the adjustment of previously
15 recorded construction-in-progress back to prepayment in Note 16 -
16 Construction-in-Progress of the Notes to the Company’s Consolidated
17 Financial Statements, expansions of two tables of sales to and purchases
18 from the JV Company in Note 24 - Summarized Information of
Investment in the JV Company of the Notes to the Company’s
Consolidated Financial Statements from two years to three years, and
the removal of “unaudited” labels from certain tables in Note 20 - Taxes
of the Notes to the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements.

19 The Company will also amend its unaudited quarterly data for the first
20 three quarters ended December 31, 2016, as set forth in its upcoming
21 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016.
22 The Company has not filed and does not intend to file amendments to
23 its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods affected.
24 Accordingly, investors should no longer rely upon the Company’s
25 previously released financial statements for those periods or any
26 earnings releases or other communications relating to those periods.
The Company’s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for fiscal year 2017
will include restated results for the corresponding interim periods of
fiscal year 2016.

27 In addition, in conjunction with the Restatements, the Company is
28 reassessing its internal controls over its financial reporting and
compliance programs. The result of this reassessment could lead the

1 Company to conclude that there were deficiencies in its internal
2 controls over financial reporting that constitute material weaknesses
3 and could therefore affect its conclusions regarding effectiveness as
4 previously expressed in Item 9A, Controls and Procedures, of the
5 Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December
6 31, 2015. Accordingly, management's report on internal controls over
7 financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, and the associated report
8 of AWC (CPA) Limited, the Company's former principal accountant
9 ("AWC"), should no longer be relied upon. The Public Company
10 Accounting Oversight Board revoked the registration of AWC on May
11 18, 2016. The Company dismissed AWC and engaged BDO China Shu
12 Lun Pan Certified Public Accountants LLP ("BDO China") as its new
independent registered public accounting firm on April 12, 2016, as
previously reported. The Company is committed to maintaining an
effective control environment and making all necessary changes to
enhance control effectiveness.

13 The chair of the Company's audit committee, on behalf of the audit
14 committee, and the management have discussed the matters disclosed
15 in this Item 4.02(a) of this Current Report on Form 8-K with BDO
China.

16 (Emphasis added).
17

18 31. On this news, shares of Kandi fell \$0.30 per share or approximately
19 6% from its previous closing price to close at \$4.05 per share on March 14, 2017,
20 further damaging investors.

21 32. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the
22 precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiff and
23 other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

24 **PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

25 33. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of
26 Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class consisting of all persons other
27 than defendants who acquired Kandi securities publicly traded on NASDAQ during
28 the Class Period and who were damaged thereby (the "Class"). Excluded from the

1 Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of Kandi, members of the Individual
2 Defendants' immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or
3 assigns and any entity in which Officer or Director Defendants have or had a
4 controlling interest.

5 34. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members
6 is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Kandi securities were actively traded
7 on NASDAQ. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at
8 this time and can be ascertained only through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff
9 believes that there are hundreds, if not thousands of members in the proposed Class.

10 35. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class
11 as all members of the Class are similarly affected by defendants' wrongful conduct
12 in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.

13 36. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members
14 of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and
15 securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those
16 of the Class.

17 37. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class
18 and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the
19 Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:

- 20 a. whether the Exchange Act was violated by Defendants' acts as
21 alleged herein;
- 22 b. whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public
23 during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the
24 financial condition and business Kandi;
- 25 c. whether Defendants' public statements to the investing public
26 during the Class Period omitted material facts necessary to make
27 the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which
28 they were made, not misleading;

- d. whether the Defendants caused the Company to issue false and misleading SEC filings during the Class Period;
- e. whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and SEC filing
- f. whether the prices of Kandi's securities during the Class Period were artificially inflated because of the Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
- g. whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the proper measure of damages.

38. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

39. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:

- a. Kandi securities met the requirements for listing, and were listed and actively traded on NASDAQ, a highly efficient and automated market;
- b. As a public issuer, the Company filed periodic public reports with the SEC and NASDAQ;
- c. The Company regularly communicated with public investors via established market communication mechanisms, including through the regular dissemination of press releases via major newswire services and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the financial press and other similar reporting services; and

d. The Company was followed by a number of securities analysts employed by major brokerage firms who wrote reports that were widely distributed and publicly available.

40. Based on the foregoing, the market for Kandi securities promptly digested current information regarding the Company from all publicly available sources and reflected such information in the prices of the shares, and Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.

41. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information as detailed above.

COUNT I

For Violations of Section 10(b) And Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder Against All Defendants

42. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

43. This Count is asserted against Defendants is based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.

44. During the Class Period, Defendants, individually and in concert, directly or indirectly, disseminated or approved the false statements specified above, which they knew or deliberately disregarded were misleading in that they contained misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

1 45. Defendants violated §10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 in that
2 they: employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud; made untrue statements
3 of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the
4 statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
5 misleading; or engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated as
6 a fraud or deceit upon plaintiff and others similarly situated in connection with their
7 purchases of Kandi securities during the Class Period.

8 46. Defendants acted with scienter in that they knew that the public
9 documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were
10 materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be
11 issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially
12 participated, or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or
13 documents as primary violations of the securities laws. These defendants by virtue
14 of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts of Kandi, their control over,
15 and/or receipt and/or modification of the Company's allegedly materially
16 misleading statements, and/or their associations with the Company which made
17 them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning the Company,
18 participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

19 47. Individual Defendants, who are the senior officers and/or directors of
20 the Company, had actual knowledge of the material omissions and/or the falsity of
21 the material statements set forth above, and intended to deceive Plaintiff and the
22 other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, acted with reckless disregard for
23 the truth when they failed to ascertain and disclose the true facts in the statements
24 made by them or other Company personnel to members of the investing public,
25 including Plaintiff and the Class.

26 48. As a result of the foregoing, the market price of Kandi securities was
27 artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the falsity of
28 Defendants' statements, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class relied on the

1 statements described above and/or the integrity of the market price of Kandi
2 securities during the Class Period in purchasing Kandi securities at prices that were
3 artificially inflated as a result of Defendants' false and misleading statements.

4 49. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class been aware that the
5 market price of Kandi securities had been artificially and falsely inflated by
6 Defendants' misleading statements and by the material adverse information which
7 Defendants did not disclose, they would not have purchased Kandi securities at the
8 artificially inflated prices that they did, or at all.

9 50. As a result of the wrongful conduct alleged herein, Plaintiff and other
10 members of the Class have suffered damages in an amount to be established at trial.

11 51. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants have violated Section 10(b) of
12 the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and are liable to the plaintiff
13 and the other members of the Class for substantial damages which they suffered in
14 connection with their purchase of Kandi securities during the Class Period.

15 **COUNT II**

16 **Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act Against the Individual** 17 **Defendants**

18 52. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in
19 the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

20 53. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the
21 operation and management of the Company, and conducted and participated,
22 directly and indirectly, in the conduct of the Company's business affairs. Because
23 of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public information about
24 Kandi's misstatement of revenue and profit and false financial statements.

25 54. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the
26 Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information
27 with respect to the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and to
28

1 correct promptly any public statements issued by the Company which had become
2 materially false or misleading.

3 55. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers,
4 the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various
5 reports, press releases and public filings which the Company disseminated in the
6 marketplace during the Class Period concerning the Company's results of
7 operations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their
8 power and authority to cause the Company to engage in the wrongful acts
9 complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were "controlling
10 persons" of the Company within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act.
11 In this capacity, they participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially
12 inflated the market price of Kandi securities.

13 56. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable
14 pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by The
15 Company.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, prays for
18 judgment and relief as follows:

19 (a) declaring this action to be a proper class action, designating plaintiff
20 as Lead Plaintiff and certifying plaintiff as a class representative under Rule 23 of
21 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and designating plaintiff's counsel as Lead
22 Counsel;

23 (b) awarding damages in favor of plaintiff and the other Class members
24 against all defendants, jointly and severally, together with interest thereon;

25 (c) awarding plaintiff and the Class reasonable costs and expenses
26 incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and

27 (d) awarding plaintiff and other members of the Class such other and
28 further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.

Dated: March 14, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.

By: /s/ Laurence M. Rosen

Laurence M. Rosen, Esq. (SBN 219683)

355 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 2450

Los Angeles, CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 785-2610

Facsimile: (213) 226-4684

Email: lrosen@rosenlegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff